Modèles de percolation pour les réseaux D2D en milieu urbain

David Corlin Marchand

Institut Mines Télécom Nord Europe, Villeneuve d'Ascq Projet Beyond5G

> 31 août 2022 Journées MAS Rouen

> > ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

The background: device-to-device (D2D) communications

How does it work? D2D technology consists of direct communication between two users equipments (like smartphones), the signal not needing to go through the nearest base station.

What does it change? Since any user can theoretically play the role of a relay, in cities—where the density of users is very high—operators could reduce their infrastructure costs. Or it could just ease the *uberisation* of the sector.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

Question: is a fully functional large-scale D2D network feasible?

A wireless network can be modelled by a graph.

A wireless network can be modelled by a graph. Vertices=equipments.



A wireless network can be modelled by a graph.

Vertices=equipments. Edges=possible connections between them.



A wireless network can be modelled by a graph.

Vertices=equipments. Edges=possible connections between them.





A wireless network can be modelled by a graph.

Vertices=equipments. Edges=possible connections between them.



A wireless network can be modelled by a graph.

Vertices=equipments. Edges=possible connections between them.



The Gilbert's model (1961): nodes randomly scattered through the plane, distributed as a PPP of intensity $\lambda > 0$. Two nodes are connected if they are at distance $\leq R$ (for some fixed R > 0).

A wireless network can be modelled by a graph.

Vertices=equipments. Edges=possible connections between them.



The Gilbert's model (1961): nodes randomly scattered through the plane, distributed as a PPP of intensity $\lambda > 0$. Two nodes are connected if they are at distance $\leq R$ (for some fixed R > 0). A phase transition occurs like in discrete percolation models:

A wireless network can be modelled by a graph.

Vertices=equipments. Edges=possible connections between them.



The Gilbert's model (1961): nodes randomly scattered through the plane, distributed as a PPP of intensity $\lambda > 0$. Two nodes are connected if they are at distance $\leq R$ (for some fixed R > 0). A phase transition occurs like in discrete percolation models:



Quentin Le Gall and his coauthors recently designed a model to test the scenario of a large-scale D2D network.

· ·

.

.

1. a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation for the *random* street system;

•

· .

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = ● ● ●



1. a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation for the *random* street system;



1. a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation for the *random* street system;



1. a Poisson–Voronoi tessellation for the *random* street system;

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

2. users randomly scattered on it: PPP of intensity $\lambda > 0$;



1. a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation for the random street system;

- 2. users randomly scattered on it: PPP of intensity $\lambda > 0$;
- 3. users/relays independently installed at crossroads, with common probability $p \in [0, 1]$;



1. a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation for the random street system;

- 2. users randomly scattered on it: PPP of intensity $\lambda > 0$;
- 3. users/relays independently installed at crossroads, with common probability $p \in [0, 1]$;



- 1. a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation for the random street system;
- 2. users randomly scattered on it: PPP of intensity $\lambda > 0$;
- 3. users/relays independently installed at crossroads, with common probability $p \in [0, 1]$;
- Line-of-sight propagation: two nodes on the same street are linked by an edge if they are at distance ≤ R.



- 1. a Poisson-Voronoi tessellation for the random street system;
- 2. users randomly scattered on it: PPP of intensity $\lambda > 0$;
- 3. users/relays independently installed at crossroads, with common probability $p \in [0, 1]$;
- Line-of-sight propagation: two nodes on the same street are linked by an edge if they are at distance ≤ R.

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes.

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$



Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$



For $p < p^* \in (0, 1)$, the graph never percolates;

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$



A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

For $p < p^* \in (0, 1)$, the graph never percolates;

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• For $p < p^* \in (0, 1)$, the graph never percolates;

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$



- For $p < p^* \in (0, 1)$, the graph never percolates;
- ▶ for *p* and *R* large enough, the graph always percolates;

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$



- For $p < p^* \in (0, 1)$, the graph never percolates;
- ▶ for *p* and *R* large enough, the graph always percolates;

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$



- For $p < p^* \in (0, 1)$, the graph never percolates;
- ▶ for *p* and *R* large enough, the graph always percolates;

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$



- For $p < p^* \in (0, 1)$, the graph never percolates;
- ▶ for *p* and *R* large enough, the graph always percolates;

Le Gall et al. proved the existence of several percolation regimes. Set $\lambda_c(p, R) = \inf \{\lambda \mid \mathbb{P}_{p,R,\lambda}(\exists \text{ an } \infty \text{ component}) > 0\}.$



- For $p < p^* \in (0, 1)$, the graph never percolates;
- ▶ for *p* and *R* large enough, the graph always percolates;
- Finally, for some values of p and R, the graph percolates iff the density of users is large enough, i.e. 0 < λ_c(p, R) < +∞.</p>

With David Coupier and Benoît Henry, we are able to improve the latter results for **Delaunay triangulations** as alternative street system.

With David Coupier and Benoît Henry, we are able to improve the latter results for **Delaunay triangulations** as alternative street system.



With David Coupier and Benoît Henry, we are able to improve the latter results for **Delaunay triangulations** as alternative street system.



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

With David Coupier and Benoît Henry, we are able to improve the latter results for **Delaunay triangulations** as alternative street system.



With David Coupier and Benoît Henry, we are able to improve the latter results for **Delaunay triangulations** as alternative street system.



Basically thanks to strong symmetries of the maps. Site percolation process on it is well understood.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 ○のへ⊙



 For any p > 1/2 and range R > 0, the graph percolates when λ is large enough;

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

э



 For any p > 1/2 and range R > 0, the graph percolates when λ is large enough;

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э



- For any p > 1/2 and range R > 0, the graph percolates when λ is large enough;
- ▶ for any p > 1/2, there exists R large enough such that the graph always percolates;

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

-



- For any p > 1/2 and range R > 0, the graph percolates when λ is large enough;
- ▶ for any p > 1/2, there exists R large enough such that the graph always percolates;

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト



- For any p > 1/2 and range R > 0, the graph percolates when λ is large enough;
- ▶ for any p > 1/2, there exists R large enough such that the graph always percolates;
- For any R > 0, there is at most one p such that λ_c(p, R) = 0 but the graph does not percolate for λ = 0.



- For any p > 1/2 and range R > 0, the graph percolates when λ is large enough;
- ▶ for any p > 1/2, there exists R large enough such that the graph always percolates;
- For any R > 0, there is at most one p such that λ_c(p, R) = 0 but the graph does not percolate for λ = 0.

Thank you for your attention!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ